



World-Views in the SIunits Package

Mark Fischler *

FERMI NATIONAL ACCELERATOR LABORATORY

April 13, 2000

*And these shall be the measures thereof.
– Ezekiel 48:16*

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Defining a World View	2
3	Information Needed to Support Each World View	3
4	Deriving the Information From the Defining Statements	4
5	Examples from the Models	5
6	Where is this Computed or Embedded in SIunits	11

1 Introduction

The SIunits package allows for the use of world views other than the “standard” (std) view of dimensional quantities length, time, mass, current, temperature, and so forth. A commonly used world view says “we work in units where $c = 1$,” for example. The package must do the work to support that new “relativistic” world view.

In this note, I want to provide concrete answers to several questions:

- What set of statements defines a world view?
- What does the SIunits Package need to know to be able to support each world view?
- How can this information be derived from the defining statements, in the general case?
- Where are these computations and derivations done in the SIunits package?

As a starting point, let me describe the std world view as having N_d dimensioned units labeled f_α . Thus f_1 is a meter, f_2 a kilogram, f_3 a second, and so forth. N_d is seven but that is moot; were we to eliminate Luminosity and/or Amount of Substance, for instance, the arguments in this note would remain unchanged.

* Email: mf@fnal.gov

2 Defining a World View

A world view works with dimensioned units δ_α . The view is defined by statements of 3 sorts:

1. Physical constant constraints, of the form

$$C_i = c_i \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N_d} f_\alpha^{C_{i\alpha}} = 1 \cdot \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N_d} \delta_\alpha^{C_{i\alpha}} \quad (1)$$

For example, in the relativistic model we say that $c = 1$. In general one can have N_c such constraints, labelled by the index i . $C_{i\alpha}$ is thus a matrix having N_c rows corresponding to the N_c constraints (the i index), and N_d columns corresponding to the fundamental dimensions (the α index).

2. Suppression of dimensions: For each physical constant constraint, we choose one of the dimensions which appears in that constraint to a non-zero power, and say that that dimension δ_α is suppressed. That is, because the constraint allows you to express one δ_α in terms of others, we are free to choose one δ_α and require that that dimension never be used when expressing quantities. For example, in the relativistic world view, the sole constraint is that $c = 1$, where c is a combination of length and time. This lets us state that the length-like dimension is not used in this world view. Instead, length is expressed in terms of the time-like dimension (and a unit of length would be interpreted in “light-seconds”).
3. Denominating quantities. These are a set of $(N_d - N_c)$ dimensioned quantities Q_β . They must be chosen in such a way that every unit f_α can be expressed as

$$f_\alpha = s_\alpha \prod_{\beta} Q_\beta^{R_{\alpha\beta}} \prod_{i=1}^{N_c} C_i^{P_{\alpha i}} \quad (2)$$

That looks a lot more complicated than it is: The meaning is that there has to be some way to get to each f_α by multiplying some of the $\{Q_\beta\}$ and some of the physical constraint constants. For example, in the rel model, we choose for the $\{Q_\beta\}$ seconds, Amps, Kelvins, and eVs. Of these, eV is non-trivial: We can use eV because a mass (in kg) can be expressed in eV/c^2 and c is one of the physical constraint constants of the model.

We can write each dimensioned quantity Q_β as

$$Q_\beta = q_\beta \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N_d} f_\alpha^{Q_{\beta\alpha}} \quad (3)$$

In general, as long as you selected the proper number of denomination quantities, and the dimensions of the constraints and these quantities have no linear dependencies, this set of quantities can be used to denominate the units in the new model. The non-trivial matter of determining the s_α and P and R is discussed below.

In principle, we allow for the units in our new model to comprise arbitrary combinations of fundamental dimensions. That is, forming each of the f_α can require multiple Q_β . In practice, we mainly stick with one Q_β leading to each fundamental dimension.

Also, in principle when you go from the Q_β to the f_α you could impose a scale factor. If Q_β were used only in one f_α this factor could be absorbed in Q_β ; but we have cases where a Q_β is used to denominate several dimensions. Nonetheless, we restrict our models to use only factors that can be absorbed into the Q_β .

2.1 Numbers of Statements

A complete world view definition based on N_c physical constant constraints will have N_c suppressed dimensions. Corresponding to each non-suppressed dimension we must have one Q_β .

These N_c numerical relations and $N_d - N_c$ choices of dimensioned quantities, plus the N_c discrete choices of which dimensions to suppress, fully define the world view.

2.2 Consistency

The set of physical constant constraints must be consistent, in the sense that the set of N_d -vectors comprising the powers of each dimension in the various of each constraints must be linearly independent. If this were not the case, then either one or more constraints would be derivable from the others, or the set of constraints can never be satisfied.

One also has to worry about consistency or redundancy in the choice of Q_β . In practice, these are chosen such that each is connected in an obvious way to one of the non-suppressed dimensions; the expression of the suppressed dimensions is then always possible.

3 Information Needed to Support Each World View

There are two pieces of information needed for the SIunits mechanisms to operate:

- A mapping between dimensions δ_α in the new world view and products of powers of fundamental dimensions f_α in the std view. This is expressed as lines like $d_1 = p_1 + p_3$

$$d_1 = p_1 + p_3$$

meaning that if a quantity Q contains p_1 powers of f_1 and p_3 powers of f_3 then in the new world view it will have d_1 powers of δ_1 . In general, this mapping looks like

$$d_\beta = \sum_{\alpha} m_{\beta\alpha} p_{\alpha} \quad (4)$$

where β runs over the non-suppressed dimensions in the new model.

For suppressed dimensions, $d_\alpha = 0$.

- For every fundamental dimension f_α , a scale factor (in the calibration and `wv.h` files, this is called `def_m`, `def_kg`, and so forth). Since this is associated with the fundamental dimensions in the std world view, the new world view needs to know all N_d of these even if it has several suppressed dimensions itself.

The meaning of these pieces of information is that a given std fundamental quantity (for example, a meter) is expressed in the new view as that scale factor, times a unit with dimensions given those d_α which would be non-zero when that p_α is one. (Given that we can express any fundamental dimension in the new model, it is then trivial to express any general dimensioned quantity by multiplying the appropriate scales and dimensions.)

For example, in the `rel` model, `def_m` = 3.335E-09, and $d_3 = p_1 + p_3$, and p_1 appears in no other d_α . So one meter will be 3.335E-09 units of length (in this model, the unit of length is the light-second).

In terms of equation (2), we can identify s_α with the scale factor for f_α , and the $R_{\alpha\beta}$ and can be used to determine the mapping of equation (4).

4 Deriving the Information From the Defining Statements

4.1 Solving for R and P

We know the details of the denominating quantities and constraints: Matrices $Q_{\beta\alpha}$ and $C_{i\alpha}$, along with the associated scalar constants. But although we know (or at least take it on faith) that for our choice of constraints and denominating quantities there are matrices $R_{\alpha\beta}$ and $P_{\alpha i}$ that will make it possible for equation (2) to hold, we are not given the values of $R_{\alpha\beta}$ and $P_{\alpha i}$. These need to be derived.

We will start from a horrendous-looking relation and demonstrate how it can be seen as an easily managed matrix equation.

When we insert the definitions of Q_{β} (equation (3)) and C_i (equation (1)) into equation (2) we get that for each value of α ,

$$f_{\alpha} = s_{\alpha} \prod_{\beta} \left(q_{\beta} \prod_{\alpha'} f_{\alpha'}^{Q_{\beta\alpha'}} \right)^{R_{\alpha\beta}} \prod_{i=1}^{N_c} \left(c_i \prod_{\alpha''} f_{\alpha''}^{C_{i\alpha''}} \right)^{P_{\alpha i}} \quad (5)$$

where as usual β ranges over the denominating quantities.

In this horrendous equation, we first pull out all the scalar-valued terms:

$$f_{\alpha} = s_{\alpha} \prod_{\beta'} q_{\beta'}^{R_{\alpha\beta'}} \prod_{i'=1}^{N_c} c_{i'}^{P_{\alpha i'}} \prod_{\beta} \prod_{i=1}^{N_c} \prod_{\alpha'} \prod_{\alpha''} \left(f_{\alpha'}^{Q_{\beta\alpha'}} \right)^{R_{\alpha\beta}} \left(f_{\alpha''}^{C_{i\alpha''}} \right)^{P_{\alpha i}} \quad (6)$$

Now we temporarily ignore the scalar out front, and do power counting to match powers of each $f_{\alpha'}$ in the equation for each f_{α} . The products become sums, and on the left hand side we require that only one power of f_{α} appear. So we get the equation

$$\delta_{\alpha\alpha'} = \sum_{\beta} R_{\alpha\beta} Q_{\beta\alpha'} + \sum_{i=1}^{N_c} P_{\alpha i} Q_{i\alpha'} \quad (7)$$

or in matrix notation,

$$\mathbf{1} = RQ + PC \quad (8)$$

Finally, if we form a N_d by N_d matrix U by using the rows of Q where there are non-suppressed dimensions and rows of C in the gaps, and a matrix K by putting the columns of R where there are non-suppressed dimensions and columns of P in the gaps, we find

$$UK = \mathbf{1} \quad (9)$$

So, solving for $R_{\alpha\beta}$ and $P_{\alpha i}$ is straightforward: We form U out of Q and P , invert to find K , and we then read R off the $(N_d - N_c)$ columns of K corresponding to non-suppressed dimensions, and P off the remaining columns.

4.2 The Dimension Mapping

To get the mapping needed (equation (4)) we identify $m_{\beta\alpha}$ with $R_{\alpha\beta}$. That is, we simple read off the coefficients of $\{p_1 \dots p_7\}$ for each non-suppressed d from a column of R .

This represents a somewhat arbitrary choice, of associating each non-suppressed δ_{α} with a specific Q_{β} . Given the definition of Q in equation (3), we can see that if we ignore the C terms, each power of f_{α} that goes into a Q_{β} is reflected by the corresponding element $R_{\alpha\beta}$. And it is obvious that the C terms contribute nothing in the mapping: For instance, when the speed of light is used to relate length to time measurements, a factor of c will contribute one power of length and negative one power of time; since c enables you to trade a time for a length, the presence of c^n in some Q is a wash in terms of powers of length and time.

4.3 The Scale Factors

To compute the scale factors s_α , we can start from equation (6). Once we use the proper P and R , the power-counting terms all work out correctly, and we are left to consider only the scalar term:

$$1 = s_\alpha \prod_{\beta} q_{\beta}^{R_{\alpha\beta}} \prod_{i=1}^{N_c} c_i^{P_{\alpha i}} \quad (10)$$

So each s_α can be read off by taking the q_β and c_i raised to powers read off by the corresponding elements of $-R_{\alpha\beta}$ and $-P_{\alpha i}$.

For example, in the relativity model, $R_{22} = 1$, q_2 is one eV (measured in Joules) $P_{21} = -2$, and c_1 is the speed of light c (measured in m/s). (The other relevant elements of R and P are zero.) So

$$s_2 = (eV/Joule)^{-1} c^2 \quad (11)$$

5 Examples from the Models

Here we present the full definitions and computations for each model. Since Amount-of-substance and Luminosity never come into non-trivial play, we ignore those dimensions. We are left with 5 dimensions; and we associate p1 (and d1) with length, p2 (and d2) with mass, p3 (and d3) with time, p4 (and d4) with current, and p5 (and d5) with temperature.

5.1 rel model

The constant constraints are

1. $c = 1$

The denominating quantities are

1. (dimension corresponding to length is suppressed)
2. one eV
3. one second
4. one Amp
5. one Kelvin

Then

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} m & kg & s & A & K \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & c_1 = c \end{pmatrix}$$

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} m & kg & s & A & K \\ d2 & 2 & 1 & -2 & 0 & 0 & eV & q_2 = eV/J \\ d3 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & s & q_3 = 1 \\ d4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & A & q_4 = 1 \\ d5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & K & q_5 = 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} m & kg & s & A & K \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ d2 & 2 & 1 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ d3 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ d4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ d5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$K = U^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} & c_1 & d2 & d3 & d4 & d5 \\ p1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ p2 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ p3 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ p4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ p5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} & d2 & d3 & d4 & d5 \\ p1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ p2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ p3 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ p4 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ p5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} & c_1 \\ p1 & 1 \\ p2 & -2 \\ p3 & 0 \\ p4 & 0 \\ p5 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

So d1 is suppressed (d1=0), d3 = p1+p3, and the remaining d's are the corresponding p's. (In the original package, we violated this mantra a bit, suppressing d3 and using d1 = p1+p3 even though seconds (and not meters) is our denominating quantity. This would of course be moot from any external perspective.)

And reading exponents of $-R$ and $-P$ to use as exponents of q_β and c_i , we get:

- $\text{def_m} = c_1^{-P_{11}} = c^{-1}$
- $\text{def_kg} = c_1^{-P_{21}} q_2^{-R_{22}} = c^2 * (eV/J)^{-1}$
- $\text{def_s} = 1$
- $\text{def_A} = 1$
- $\text{def_K} = 1$

(Here, terms where $q_\beta = 1$ are not shown since they do not affect the scale factors regardless of exponent.)

When we show physical constants in this way, we mean measured in std units, for example c is measured in m/s.

5.2 hep model

Now we add the constraint that k (which in std is measured in J/K) is one, change over to GeV and ns, and also demoninate charge in units of e^+ .

The constant constraints are

1. $c = 1$
2. $k = 1$

The denominating quantities are

1. (dimension corresponding to length is suppressed)
2. one GeV
3. one nanosecond
4. one electron-charge (= eplus/Coulomb Amp-Seconds)
5. (dimension corresponding to temperature is suppressed)

(In this and subsequent models, we also denominate Amount-of-substance in molecules; this was intended but not done in the original package. For the purpose of this note we are ignoring that p6 dimension.)

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} m & kg & s & A & K \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & c_1 = c \\ 2 & 1 & -2 & 0 & -1 & c_5 = k \end{pmatrix}$$

(We call the second constraint c_5 so that there will be a nice coverage of indices: For any i there will be either a non-suppressed d_i or a c_i .)

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} m & kg & s & A & K \\ d2 & 2 & 1 & -2 & 0 & 0 & GeV & q_2 = GeV/J \\ d3 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & ns & q_3 = ns/s = 10^{-9} \\ d4 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & e^+ & q_4 = e^+/Coulomb \end{pmatrix}$$

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} m & kg & s & A & K \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ d2 & 2 & 1 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ d3 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ d4 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & -2 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$K = U^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & d2 & d3 & d4 & c_5 \\ p1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ p2 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ p3 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ p4 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ p5 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} d2 & d3 & d4 \\ p1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ p2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ p3 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ p4 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ p5 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & c_5 \\ p1 & 1 & 0 \\ p2 & -2 & 0 \\ p3 & 0 & 0 \\ p4 & 0 & 0 \\ p5 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

So d1 and d5 are suppressed (d1=d5=0), d3 = p1+p3-p4, and d2=p2+p5. (In the original package, we again violated this mantra a bit, suppressing the d3 dimension even though we denominate in seconds.)

And reading exponents of $-R$ and $-P$ to use as exponents of q_β and c_i , we get:

- $\text{def_m} = c_1^{-P_{11}} = c^{-1}$
- $\text{def_kg} = c_1^{-P_{21}} q_2^{-R_{22}} = c^2 * (GeV/J)^{-1}$
- $\text{def_s} = q_3^{-R_{33}} = (ns/s)^{-1} = 10^9$
- $\text{def_A} = q_3^{-R_{43}} q_4^{-R_{44}} = (ns/s)^{+1} (e^+/Coulomb)^{-1} = 10^{-9} * (e^+/Coulomb)^{-1}$
- $\text{def_K} = c_5^{-P_{55}} q_2^{-R_{52}} = k^{+1} * (GeV/J)^{-1}$

Note that in this model, even though we fix the charge on the positron to be +1, we do that by choosing how we denominate charge, not by using a physical constant constraint to suppress the current dimension. Thus dimension checking still goes on for quantities having a net power of current (or charge).

5.3 qtm model

Now we add the constraints that $\hbar = 1$, and $\varepsilon_0 = 1$. We continue to denominate in GeV, but the because of the added constraints we no longer use ns to denominate time, nor e^+ to denominate charge. (With ε_0 set to unity, the charge on the electron is fixed by the square root of the fine structure constant.)

The constant constraints are

1. $c = 1$
2. $\hbar = 1$
3. $\varepsilon_0 = 1$ (This is measured in Farads/meter)
4. $k = 1$

The denominating quantities are

1. (dimension corresponding to length is suppressed)
2. one GeV
3. (dimension corresponding to time is suppressed)
4. (dimension corresponding to current is suppressed)
5. (dimension corresponding to temperature is suppressed)

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} m & kg & s & A & K \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & c_1 = c \\ 2 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & c_3 = \hbar \\ -3 & -1 & 4 & 2 & 0 & c_4 = \varepsilon_0 \\ 2 & 1 & -2 & 0 & -1 & c_5 = k \end{pmatrix}$$

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} m & kg & s & A & K \\ d2 & 2 & 1 & -2 & 0 & 0 & GeV & q_2 = GeV/J \end{pmatrix}$$

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} & m & kg & s & A & K \\ d2 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ & 2 & 1 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ & 2 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ & -3 & -1 & 4 & 2 & 0 \\ & 2 & 1 & -2 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$K = U^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} & c_1 & d2 & c_3 & c_4 & c_5 \\ p1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ p2 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ p3 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ p4 & .5 & 1 & -.5 & .5 & 0 \\ p5 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Notice that for the first time, fractional powers are creeping in. This is nothing to worry about; but absent a solid formalism it would make the computation of scale factors quite complicated.

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} & d2 \\ p1 & -1 \\ p2 & 1 \\ p3 & -1 \\ p4 & 1 \\ p5 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} & c_1 & c_3 & c_4 & c_5 \\ p1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ p2 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ p3 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ p4 & .5 & -.5 & .5 & 0 \\ p5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

So d1, d3, d4 and d5 are suppressed (d1=d3=d4=d5=0), and d2=p2+p4+p5-p1-p3. And reading exponents of $-R$ and $-P$ to use as exponents of q_β and c_i , we get:

- $\text{def_m} = c_1^{-P_{11}} c_3^{-P_{13}} q_2^{-R_{12}} = c^{-1} \hbar^{-1} * (GeV/J)^{+1}$
- $\text{def_kg} = c_1^{-P_{21}} q_2^{-R_{22}} = c^2 * (GeV/J)^{-1}$
- $\text{def_s} = c_3^{-P_{33}} q_2^{-R_{32}} = \hbar^{-1} * (GeV/J)^{+1}$
- $\text{def_A} = c_1^{-P_{41}} c_3^{-P_{43}} c_4^{-P_{44}} q_2^{-R_{42}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{c}} * \sqrt{\hbar} * \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon_0}} * (GeV/J)^{-1}$
- $\text{def_K} = c_5^{-P_{55}} q_2^{-R_{52}} = k^{+1} * (GeV/J)^{-1}$

5.4 nat model

Finally we add one more constraint: The gravitational constant $G = 1$. Now the last of the dimensional quantities goes away, and we have no freedom concerning how to denominate quantities. We work in units of the Planck mass, Planck length, Planck time, and so forth.

The constant constraints are

1. $c = 1$
2. $G = 1$ (This is measured in cubic meters/kg per second squared)
3. $\hbar = 1$
4. $\varepsilon_0 = 1$ (This is measured in Farads/meter)
5. $k = 1$

There are no denominating quantities.

1. (dimension corresponding to length is suppressed)
2. (dimension corresponding to mass is suppressed)
3. (dimension corresponding to time is suppressed)
4. (dimension corresponding to current is suppressed)
5. (dimension corresponding to temperature is suppressed)

$$C = U = \begin{pmatrix} m & kg & s & A & K \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & c_1 = c \\ 3 & -1 & -2 & 0 & 0 & c_2 = G \\ 2 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & c_3 = \hbar \\ -3 & -1 & 4 & 2 & 0 & c_4 = \varepsilon_0 \\ 2 & 1 & -2 & 0 & -1 & c_5 = k \end{pmatrix}$$

$$P = K = U^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} & c_1 & c_2 & c_3 & c_4 & c_5 \\ p1 & -1.5 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ p2 & 0.5 & -0.5 & 0.5 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ p3 & -2.5 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ p4 & 3.0 & -0.5 & 0.0 & 0.5 & 0.0 \\ p5 & 2.5 & -0.5 & 0.5 & 0.0 & -1.0 \end{pmatrix}$$

d1, d2, d3, d4 and d5 are all suppressed (d1=d2=d3=d4=d5=0).

And reading exponents of $-P$ to use as exponents of c_i , we get:

- $\text{def_m} = c_1^{-P_{11}} c_2^{-P_{12}} c_3^{-P_{13}} = \sqrt{c^3 G^{-1} \hbar^{-1}}$
- $\text{def_kg} = c_1^{-P_{21}} c_2^{-P_{22}} c_3^{-P_{23}} = \sqrt{c^{-1} G^{+1} \hbar^{-1}}$
- $\text{def_s} = c_1^{-P_{31}} c_2^{-P_{32}} c_3^{-P_{33}} = \sqrt{c^5 G^{-1} \hbar^{-1}}$
- $\text{def_A} = c_1^{-P_{41}} c_2^{-P_{42}} c_4^{-P_{44}} = c^{-3} \sqrt{G^{+1} \varepsilon_0^{-1}}$
- $\text{def_K} = c_1^{-P_{51}} c_2^{-P_{52}} c_3^{-P_{53}} c_5^{-P_{55}} = k^{+1} \sqrt{c^{-5} G^{+1} \hbar^{-1}}$

6 Where is this Computed or Embedded in SIunits

(Since this is going to change, we will make this very brief for now.)

The mapping is expressed as a set of enums expressing $\{d1 \dots d7\}$ in terms of linear combinations of $\{p1 \dots p7\}$.

The scale factors are computed in `calibrate.cc`. For each model, the results of the matrix inversion and subsequent steps to get the scale factors in terms of std model values of constraint constants, are coded into the computation of the various scales such as `def_m`. For example, in the method `rel()`, we have

```
def_kg = c2.measuredIn(m2/s2) * J.measuredIn(eV);
```

which reflects equation (11).